



Vera C. Rubin Observatory
Rubin Observatory Operations

Rubin Data and Information Security Plan

William O'Mullane and Russ Allbery and Richard Dubois and KT. Lim

RTN-030

Latest Revision: 2024-07-14



Abstract

This document covers data security and recovery, threats and mechanisms for dealing with them. Where possible it will refer to other documents for details. This replaces the construction era LDM-324 for operations.

Change Record

Version	Date	Description	Owner name
0.1	2022-09-09	draft	William O'Mullane
0.2	2023-11-28	Chile disaster recovery, DP is now DM, incident response	William O'Mullane
0.3	2024-06-28	SLAC disaster recovery, UK and French security policies, trace to NIST now in RTN-082, tidy and issue for review	William O'Mullane
1.0	2024-07-09	Issue one remove draft, final action complete. CCB approved CCB-4	William O'Mullane
1.1	2024-07-14	Clarify Alert Vetting - change approved by director under CCB-4	William O'Mullane

Document source location: <https://github.com/lstt/rtn-030>

Contents

1 Introduction	1
2 Presence of controlled information	2
3 Cyber incident response	2
4 System description	3
4.1 Data verification and quality assurance	3
4.2 Data processing	3
4.2.1 Prompt Processing	3
4.2.2 Data Release Processing	3
4.3 Data access	4
4.4 Rubin Director’s Office	4
5 Data Management system architecture	4
5.1 Prompt Enclave	5
5.1.1 Threats and Security infrastructure	6
5.1.2 Disaster recovery	6
5.2 Offline Production Enclave	6
5.2.1 Threats and Security infrastructure	7
5.2.2 Disaster recovery	7
5.3 Archive Enclave	7
5.3.1 Threats and Security infrastructure	8
5.3.2 Disaster recovery	8
5.4 USDF Data Access Center (DAC) Enclave	8
5.4.1 Threats and Security infrastructure	8
5.4.2 Disaster recovery	9
5.5 Chile DAC Enclave	9
5.5.1 Threats and Security infrastructure	9
5.5.2 Disaster recovery	9

5.6 Development and Integration Enclave	10
5.6.1 Threats and Security infrastructure	10
5.6.2 Disaster recovery	10
5.7 FrDF Processing Enclave	10
5.7.1 Threats and Security infrastructure	10
5.7.2 Disaster recovery	10
5.8 UKDF Processing Enclave	11
5.8.1 Threats and Security infrastructure	11
5.8.2 Disaster recovery	11
5.9 External entities	11
5.9.1 Threats and Security infrastructure	11
5.9.2 Disaster recovery	11
6 Telescope and Site System architecture	11
6.1 Summit Systems	12
6.1.1 Threats and Security infrastructure	12
6.1.2 Disaster recovery	13
6.2 Base Test Stand	13
6.2.1 Threats and Security infrastructure	14
6.2.2 Disaster recovery	14
6.3 Tucson Test Stand	14
6.3.1 Threats and Security infrastructure	14
6.3.2 Disaster recovery	14
7 Rubin Directors Office	14
7.1 Threats and Security infrastructure	15
7.2 Disaster recovery	15
A References	15

Rubin Data and Information Security Plan

1 Introduction

This is the Rubin information and data security plan for operations. This renders LDM-324 obsolete.

This security plan conforms with the construction era security documentation:

- “LSST Information Classification Policy” [LPM-122]
- “LSST Master Information Security Policy” [LPM-121]

Note has been taken of Adams et al. (2021).

During the ramp up to operations further security requirements were given to the project by the agencies. The response to these is in DM Technical Note (DMTN)-199¹.

The Rubin Data Management (RDM) department is concerned with the operations and maintenance of Rubin constructed software, hardware and networks. Development remains open-source in nature.

Data from the telescope on Cerro Pachón is transmitted over Rubin controlled networks to SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory (SLAC) in Menlo Park USA. After an agreed embargo period all data will be transmitted to the Institut National de Physique Nucléaire et de Physique des Particules (IN2P3) in Lyon, France, and at least some data is transmitted to the Royal Observatory Edinburgh. Processing occurs in all three locations using a coordinated release of the Rubin Science Pipelines.

Access to data will be provided to authorized users via a US DAC. A data access center similar to the United States (US) DAC will also be provided in Chile.

For data taken initially we have been requested to comply with NIST.SP.800-171r3 the compliance matrix is provided in RTN-082.

¹This is a limited availability document

This document does not do a detailed threat analysis though this should be and is done for individual systems and Enclaves. Adams et al. (2021) is good guide for software threats.

2 Presence of controlled information

Vera C. Rubin Observatory is an open source project with no confidentiality requirements on the software. The software project's integrity requirements are met through the combination of processes and controls which provide verified user access and protected credentials. The majority of software testing is conducted using open simulated and observational data sets.

Rubin observatory data is not considered Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI), however we have been asked to embargo data for eighty hours and up to ten days for some images. All data, after the embargo period, is classified as Internal for two years before it becomes public. Internal here means available to data rights holders as per RDO-013. Data rights holders are instructed not to disseminate data outside of the collaboration. This is the customary protection for this data in the field of optical astronomy.

3 Cyber incident response

In this document many threat vectors are identified all IT, DevOps and many other DM staff are highly aware of these. If an incident is observed we:

- notify the Information Security Officer (ISO) [LPM-121]
- ISO notifies Project Management Office (PMO) (project manager and deputies)
- the ISO decides which information and how widely to broadcast to all staff
- IT team take action to isolate, remove or switch off affected systems.
- investigation and remediation are initiated - which may be disaster recovery.
- staff are informed of the plan to return systems to service.

4 System description

Vera C. Rubin Observatory produces around 20TB of astronomical data per night for the 10 year Legacy Survey of Space and Time (formerly Large Synoptic Survey Telescope) (LSST) The control of the the observatory is part of Telescope and site and is covered in section 6. Data are processed in SLAC, IN2P3 and Royal Observatory Edinburgh (ROE). A publicly available alert stream emanates from the United States Data Facility (USDF) at SLAC. Processing is the responsibility's of Rubin Data Production (Obsolete use RDM) (RDP) department while Quality Assurance is carried out under Rubin system PerFormance (RPF) department.

The Rubin Operations Plan RDO-018 gives more details. The USDF specification is in DMTN-189 but some architecture details are provided in section 5.

4.1 Data verification and quality assurance

Members of the RPF department assess the data quality at short and long timescales. They require access to tools installed at the summit as well as in the data facilities. In particular they will need access to images as they are processed to generate alerts.

These team members will have to have SLAC accounts to perform this work - SLAC accounts are governed by SLAC procedures IT (2024b) and IT (2024a). In addition they will need summit accounts as governed by ITTN-045 and ITTN-010.

4.2 Data processing

4.2.1 Prompt Processing

Prompt processing is performed on embargoed data with in SLAC's prompt processing enclave subsection 5.1. This data is subject to (Marshall, ACP).

4.2.2 Data Release Processing

DRP is performed only on unembargoed data which is fully available to Rubin data rights holders. Processing is carried out at three sites. Each site has its own security policies:

- SLAC lists cyber policies on <https://it.slac.stanford.edu/cybersecurity/compliance>.
- UKDF falls under the e-Infrastructure for Research and Innovation for UK Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC) (IRIS) security policies listed on <https://www.iris.ac.uk/security/>.
- FrDF lists cyber policies and compliance on <https://doc.lsst.eu/cybersecurity/cybersecurity.html>.

4.3 Data access

After a short embargo period image and derived data are made available to all data rights holders as defined in LDO-13.

Front end data access via the Rubin Science Platform (RSP) will be hosted on a cloud provider such as Google. Thus not requiring community science users to have SLAC accounts.

There will be a DAC in Chile to support Chilean users. The United Kingdom (UK) intends to host a DAC for UK users.

In addition there will be a set of Independent Data Access Center (IDAC)s which will usually serve a portion of the data e.g. perhaps only catalogs or only the object catalog.

4.4 Rubin Director's Office

Rubin headquarters are in Tucson Arizona where a few services are also deployed such as Jira and Confluence. These are discussed more in section 7

5 Data Management system architecture

The overall system architecture is available in LDM-148. Details on the USDF specifications are given in DMTN-189.

section 4 gives a high level overview of the system, architecturally we look at this as a set of enclaves. As images are processed in the Prompt and Offline Production enclaves, their re-

sulting data products are stored in the Archive enclave and made available to the DAC enclave where data rights holders can access and analyze them. In addition, Rubin Observatory staff will use the Development/Integration enclave to maintain the Observatory's software tools and systems and to develop new versions of them.

These enclaves are further described here and for each a series of subsections explore :

1. Threats and Security infrastructure
2. Disaster recovery

5.1 Prompt Enclave

The Prompt enclave receives images from the Observatory facilities in Chile via a Long Haul Network connection. It stores these and processes them into Prompt data products of three main types: alerts for things that have moved or changed, measurement catalogs, and processed images. The alerts can be further subdivided into *streak* alerts for objects that have moved a long distance and *non-streak* alerts for all other objects. Measurements in the catalogs follow the same subdivision. Images may be Commissioning images used for testing and characterizing the Observatory systems, normal science images without significant *streaks*, or delayed science images that do contain significant *streaks*.

Streak alerts found in the Official Use Only (OUO) catalog at SLAC will not be released. Uncatalogued *Streak* alerts agreed with Jet Propulsion Laboratory (dark energy (DE) ephemerides) (JPL) Near-Earth Object (NEO) group are to be published at least to Minor Planet Center (MPC). *Non-streak* alerts are to be published to the world at large within 60 seconds of the original raw image being taken. Normal science images are made available to data rights holders in the DAC after an 80 hour embargo period. Delayed science images, as identified by the agencies, are released after their specific embargo period. Commissioning images are made available to data rights holders after a 30 day embargo period.

All Prompt data products are checked for quality by automated systems but also by human operators from the Rubin Observatory staff, who have access to all images and data products in order to perform spot checks or follow ups.

5.1.1 Threats and Security infrastructure

The obvious threat surfaces here are :

1. Transmission of Data from Chile. IPsec built into the routers will be used on the long haul network (LHN). DMTN-108 discusses threats in this realm a little more.
2. Transmission to Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL). This will be over internet using TLS.
3. Staff access for Quality Assurance (QA). All the usual user threats such as phishing apply - these users are however governed by SLAC security policies².
4. QA tools. The web accessible QA tools should have a threat analysis performed by SLAC or our Security consultants although they will be behind SLAC virtual private network (VPN) and 2FA. These tools are also only accessible by staff and probably pose a low risk.
5. The for the initial 10 days (30 in commissioning) after acquisition the data is maintain on encrypted disks with in the restricted Other Government Agencies (OGA) rack.

5.1.2 Disaster recovery

All embargoed data is also stored on a secure server in Chile hence it can be retransmitted as needed. In the case of a total wipe out of the OGA systems use of Chef, docker etc allow redeployment rapidly. See also the SLAC Rubin disaster recovery plan RTN-078. The back up for the embargoed data is the Chile OGA rack.

5.2 Offline Production Enclave

Each year (or more frequently), the Offline Production enclave takes the raw images accumulated to date in the Archive and reprocesses them to generate highly accurate, consistent images and measurement catalogs, known as a Data Release. These data products are stored in the Archive and made available to data rights holders in the DAC after they have been checked by automated systems and after Rubin Observatory staff has vetted, characterized,

²<https://it.slac.stanford.edu/cybersecurity/compliance>

and documented them. Offline Production is split between the USDF and the French Data Facility (FrDF) and UKDF. Each Data Facility performs part of the computations and exchanges its results with the others, so all have a complete set of data products at release time.

5.2.1 Threats and Security infrastructure

- Offline production data is no longer embargoed ergo not considered under threat.
- Although data exchange among the facilities uses encryption (secure HTTP over TLS), if data were to be intercepted in transfer between sites, this could only occur after the embargo period hence the security risk is low.
- Malicious users could disrupt data or processing. We are using standard tooling from High Energy Physics (HEP) which has been in use for many years and gives a level confidence of their suitability in this scientific endeavor. Still internal users remain a major risk - we maintain an inclusive project and try to avoid disgruntled team members.

5.2.2 Disaster recovery

Post embargo FrDF keeps a full copy of the raw data.

5.3 Archive Enclave

The raw images, data products, and other records of the survey such as commands, events, and telemetry from Observatory systems are all stored in the Archive. As the permanent scientific record of the survey, no more than 1% of the raw images or telemetry may be lost or corrupted according to Rubin requirements.

To help ensure this, the French Data Facility maintains a disaster recovery copy of all raw images and selected data products. Additional copies of some raw images and data products will be stored in Observatory systems in Chile.

We intend to have a hybrid access model for the archive where the RSP users and user processing will be on Google cloud while the data resides at SLAC. A cache of images will be held on Google with a dedicated client pulling needed images from SLAC to google.

5.3.1 Threats and Security infrastructure

- Archive data is no longer embargoed ergo not considered under threat.
- We will have a lot of users which could be problematic. Keeping the data rights holders on the cloud allows a clean separation of concerns between SLAC for processing and archive and the more public facing RSP.

5.3.2 Disaster recovery

Post embargo FrDF keeps a full copy of the raw data.

5.4 USDF DAC Enclave

Data rights holders will use the services and systems in this enclave to work with the survey data products. It is therefore a general-purpose scientific computing facility. Generally users will interact with the Rubin Science Platform (RSP), which is composed of a web-based Portal Aspect providing a guided user interface for accessing and analyzing the data, a Notebook Aspect providing an interactive, flexible, programming-oriented interface, and an API Aspect providing an programmable access service. Users of the DAC may connect from anywhere in the world over the Internet; all such users will be authenticated before accessing any RSP service. The RSP is hosted on a cloud service, currently Google Cloud Platform.

The DAC retrieves the released data products from the Archive Enclave via protocols and services authenticated at a service account level only. While end-user identities may be included for audit and accounting purposes, fundamentally the DAC exists to provide access to all Archive contents.

5.4.1 Threats and Security infrastructure

The RSP is an attractive generic target due to its computing resources. There is some user generated data which is mildly sensitive. Hosting it on a cloud provider reduces risk considerably for the Archive enclave, and also leverages the security products and services made available by the hosting provider. SQR-041 provides a risk assessment for the RSP. DMTN-193 provides a more in depth web risk analysis.

Backend archive services could provide another attack surface. These are governed by SLAC security.

5.4.2 Disaster recovery

For user spaces we rely on cloud provider redundancy/backup/recovery.

Our data is cached a full copy is always held at the USDF hence any Rubin data at the DAC is expendable.

Further considerations are covered in RTN-059.

5.5 Chile DAC Enclave

This proposed DAC in Chile is covered in O'Mullane (LDM-572). We will not start work on this until near the start of operations.

5.5.1 Threats and Security infrastructure

- The Chile DAC is within the Recinto data center and covered by AURA/COS security measures.
- All Rubin traffic is run through a security appliance (currently Zeek).
- Selected Chilean users have access to the DAC. We will keep the DAC and the users confined with least privileges. We will use a caching mechanism analogous to the Cloud DAC system to restrict access to the object store for the external users.
- All access will be via RSP pods and hence containerized - escalation potential from inside the container will be carefully monitored.

5.5.2 Disaster recovery

The Chile disaster recovery plan will cover the Chile DAC ITTN-055.

5.6 Development and Integration Enclave

Rubin Observatory and USDF staff will use this enclave to build and test new versions of software and services to be deployed in the other enclaves.

5.6.1 Threats and Security infrastructure

- Developers have a higher level of access than most users. This is a necessary and accepted risk.
- All developers must adhere to SLAC access rules e.g. FACTS checking etc.

5.6.2 Disaster recovery

SLAC keep tape backups.

All code is deployed using Chef or containers and hence fairly easily recoverable in case of catastrophic failure.

5.7 FrDF Processing Enclave

40% of Data Release Production (DRP) will be done at IN2P3. A full back up of the raw data will also be held there. The IN2P3 computing infrastructure is described in <https://doc.lsst.eu/>.

5.7.1 Threats and Security infrastructure

IN2P3 have their own cyber security procedures which will be adhered to.

5.7.2 Disaster recovery

All Raw data is also at SLAC and can be resent over a period of time.

5.8 UKDF Processing Enclave

25% of processing will be done on IRIS.

5.8.1 Threats and Security infrastructure

UKDF have their own cyber security procedures which will be adhered to.

5.8.2 Disaster recovery

All Raw data is at IN2P3 and can be resent over a period of time.

5.9 External entities

There are a number of IDACs which will have and serve catalogs and or images. These are within our realm of security to some extent but not entirely - we rely on trust at some level.

5.9.1 Threats and Security infrastructure

The obvious threat here is unauthorized access to the data rights accessible data. Any IDAC must adhere to our user access protocols so this should not happen. If unauthorized access occurs the impact is low in terms of system integrity - it may reflect badly on Rubin Observatory and erode the brand and the entire notion of restricted access to the data.

5.9.2 Disaster recovery

We are not concerned with disasters at IDACs. We can resend the appropriate data to them.

6 Telescope and Site System architecture

We concern our selves here mainly with the software architecture of telescope and site, this includes the control system but also the controlled devices and various test stands.

The control system architecture is given in LSE-150. Broadly this is a message bus architecture with various controllable components such as the Camera, Environmental Control, etc. attached to it. The components can receive control messages and telemetry from the bus by listening to various queues. The script queue component allows for orchestrated commanding of various components.

This set may be seen for the Main Telescope as well as the Auxiliary Telescope. In addition there is a test stand in the Base and one in Tucson which have physical DAQ hardware to emulate the camera and can simulate many other physical components for testing the control system.

We consider these systems under the same headings used in section 5.

6.1 Summit Systems

The summit iOS the crown jewel of Rubin the network and control system touch all the hardware on the summit. The control system, which touches all hardware, is described in LSE-150. This is a message bus system allowing command of all commandable devices from the Telescope Mount Assembly (TMA) to the Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning (HVAC). Most of the computing hardware lives in the summit computer room on the second floor which is card accessible and has cameras in place. Racks in the computing room are locked with individual codes known to Rubin IT. Combinations are kept in a password vault. Access to services on the summit is more restricted than to the rest of Rubin, see the on boarding procedure ITTN-045.

Access to most controls is through the control room which is a key card accessible room on the second floor of the observatory

Underlying some of this is the virtualization system as described ITTN-036.

6.1.1 Threats and Security infrastructure

The summit has several security features coming from the Chilean infrastructure:

- The summit has firewalls and 2FA enabled VPN access.

- Accessibility to the summit is via the access road which has a physical security check.
- The Control and Computer rooms as well as the Dome can only be accessed by authorized personnel with key cards.

There are also many threats:

- DMTN-108 discusses some issues such as fiber taps to access data.
- Assuming access was gained to the computer room physical disks could be removed, our infrastructure as code approach allows us to quickly rebuild servers, the data is also available at SLAC. Disks on the summit are encrypted meaning it would be quite difficult for anyone to retrieve data from any physical disks removed from the computer room.
- As always our network may be vulnerable to attack, we follow NIST advice and will have a contract with a cyber security firm to assist in this area.

6.1.2 Disaster recovery

- We can deploy most systems from scratch using the Rancher, puppet, kubernetes Infrastructure as Code (IaC) approach. This is relatively quick (hours) provided machines are available. This also means machines are interchangeable and we keep at least one spare on the summit.
- Other systems such as the coating chamber control computer have spares since we can not rebuild them easily.
- Should we have an all out attack on the system via the LHN we have an out of bounds link which still provides access and monitoring (allowing shutdown if needed).
- Though the software *could* command systems out of limits all the physical devices have engineering safety stops build in.

6.2 Base Test Stand

In the computer room on the base facility in Las Serena we have the Base (La Serena) Test Stand (BTS). This is a full Data Acquisition System (DAQ) identical to that attached to the camera on the summit, as well as as set of supporting machines which allow deployment of both

control components and simulators. This allow full scale testing of the summit control systems and especially the Camera readout.

6.2.1 Threats and Security infrastructure

This system is behind the La Serena firewall. It is accessible by VPN. Access is restricted to the computer room and cameras are in place.

6.2.2 Disaster recovery

Apart from the DAQ itself the machines here are standard and the system can be rebuilt using our IaC approach. There is no irreplaceable data on the system.

6.3 Tucson Test Stand

The Tucson Test Stand (TTS) is located in the commuter room on Cherry Ave in Tucson. It is similar to the BTS subsection 6.2 but has a smaller DAQ more ComCam sized. This is still very useful for testing.

6.3.1 Threats and Security infrastructure

This system is behind the Tucson firewall. It is accessible by VPN. Access is restricted to the computer room with only a few AURA employees allowed to access it.

6.3.2 Disaster recovery

Apart from the DAQ itself the machines here are standard and the system can be rebuilt using our IaC approach.

7 Rubin Directors Office

The directors office is in Tucson Arizona and hosts several observatory functions.

These include :

- Active directory and Data Services.
- Websites such as Drupal, Jira, Confluence .
- Databases such as Docushare, Contacts Database, Primavera.
- Terminal servers for access to some windows based services such as as primavera.

Jira, Confluence, Docushare as well as engineering oriented services such as remain in place for the directors office.

7.1 Threats and Security infrastructure

The “LSST Tucson Site IT Cybersecurity Policy” [LPM-125] is the policy for directors office.

The main threats are against tour web interfaces such as Confluence and Drupal. IT keep these servers up to date with security patches and we look out for any threat warnings.

7.2 Disaster recovery

The construction era “LSST Tucson Site Disaster Recovery Plan” [LPM-101] covers disaster recovery for the directors office.

A References

Adams, A., Avila, K., Heymann, E., et al., 2021, Guide to securing scientific software, URL <https://zenodo.org/record/5777646#.YfSEvmB1C3o>

[DMTN-193], Allbery, R., 2022, Web security for the Science Platform, URL <https://dmtn-193>.

lsst.io/,

Vera C. Rubin Observatory Data Management Technical Note DMTN-193

[SQR-041], Allbery, R., 2022, Science Platform security risk assessment, URL <https://sqr-041.lsst.io/>,

lsst.io/,

Vera C. Rubin Observatory SQuaRE Technical Note SQR-041

[RDO-018], Blum, R., 2021, PLAN for the OPERATIONS of the VERA C. RUBIN OBSERVATORY,

URL <https://docushare.lsstcorp.org/docushare/dsweb/Get/RDO-18>,

Vera C. Rubin Observatory RDO-018

[RDO-013], Blum, R., the Rubin Operations Team, 2020, Vera C. Rubin Observatory Data Policy,

URL <https://ls.st/RDO-013>,

Vera C. Rubin Observatory RDO-013

[LDO-13], Blum, R., et al., 2019, LSST Data Policy, URL <https://ls.st/LDO-13>,

Vera C. Rubin Observatory LDO-13

[RTN-078], Dubois, R., 2024, USDF Disaster Recovery Plan, URL <https://rtn-078.lsst.io/>,

Vera C. Rubin Observatory Technical Note RTN-078

[LPM-101], Goodenow, I., McKercher, R., 2013, Tucson Site Disaster Recovery Plan, URL <https://ls.st/LPM-101>,

//ls.st/LPM-101,

Vera C. Rubin Observatory LPM-101

IT, S., 2024a, SLAC New Hire IT Orientation, URL <https://it.slac.stanford.edu/sites/default/files/2024-05/SLAC%20New%20Hire%20IT%20Orientation.pdf>

IT, S., 2024b, SLAC On boarding checklist, URL <https://it.slac.stanford.edu/sites/default/files/2024-05/SLACITOnboardingChecklist052824.pdf>

[LDM-324], Kantor, J., 2016, Data Management Information Security Plan, URL <https://ls.st/LDM-324>,

LDM-324,

Vera C. Rubin Observatory LDM-324

[LPM-125], Krabendam, V., Goodenow, I., 2016, Project Management Office Information Security Plan, URL <https://ls.st/LPM-125>,

Vera C. Rubin Observatory LPM-125

[DMTN-189], Lim, K.T., 2021, Data Facility Specifications, URL <https://dmtn-189.lsst.io/>,

Vera C. Rubin Observatory Data Management Technical Note DMTN-189

- [LDM-148]**, Lim, K.T., Bosch, J., Dubois-Felsmann, G., et al., 2020, Data Management System Design, URL <https://ldm-148.lsst.io/>,
Vera C. Rubin Observatory Data Management Controlled Document LDM-148
- [ACP]**, Marshall, P., 2024, Access Control Plan for the Vera C. Rubin Observatory U.S. Data Facility Embargo Rack , URL <https://ls.st/ACP>,
Internal document
- [DMTN-108]**, O'Mullane, W., 2021, Security of Rubin Observatory data, URL <https://dmtn-108.lsst.io/>,
Vera C. Rubin Observatory Data Management Technical Note DMTN-108
- [LDM-572]**, O'Mullane, W., 2021, Chilean Data Access Center, URL <https://ldm-572.lsst.io/>,
Vera C. Rubin Observatory Data Management Controlled Document LDM-572
- [RTN-082]**, O'Mullane, W., 2024, Pixel Zone system security plan, URL <https://rtn-082.lsst.io/>,
Vera C. Rubin Observatory Technical Note RTN-082
- [LPM-122]**, Petravick, D., 2015, LSST Information Classification Policy, URL <https://ls.st/LPM-122>,
Vera C. Rubin Observatory LPM-122
- [LPM-121]**, Petravick, D.L., Withers, A., 2016, LSST Master Information Security Policy, URL <https://ls.st/LPM-121>,
Vera C. Rubin Observatory LPM-121
- [ITTN-036]**, Reinking, H., 2021, Virtualization Cluster Topology and Design, URL <https://ittn-036.lsst.io/>,
Vera C. Rubin Observatory ITTN-036
- [LSE-150]**, Ribeiro, T., O'Mullane, W., Axelrod, T., Mills, D., 2020, Control Software Architecture, URL <https://lse-150.lsst.io/>,
Vera C. Rubin Observatory LSE-150
- [NIST.SP.800-171r3]**, Ross, R., Pillitteri, V., 2024, Special publication 800-171, protecting controlled unclassified information in nonfederal systems and organizations, URL <https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-171r3>
- [ITTN-055]**, Silva, C., 2023, Disaster Recovery, URL <https://ittn-055.lsst.io/>,
Vera C. Rubin Observatory ITTN-055
-

[ITTN-045], Tapia, D., Silva, C., 2023, Summit Onboarding Procedure, URL <https://ittn-045.lsst.io/>,

Vera C. Rubin Observatory ITTN-045

[ITTN-010], Thebo, A., Hoblitt, J., 2023, User Identification and Authorization, URL <https://ittn-010.lsst.io/>,

Vera C. Rubin Observatory ITTN-010

[RTN-059], White, B., 2023, Rubin Data Retention Implementation Strategy, URL <https://rtn-059.lsst.io/>,

Vera C. Rubin Observatory Technical Note RTN-059

B Glossary

Archive The repository for documents required by the NSF to be kept. These include documents related to design and development, construction, integration, test, and operations of the LSST observatory system. The archive is maintained using the enterprise content management system DocuShare, which is accessible through a link on the project website www.project.lsst.org.

BTS Base (La Serena) Test Stand.

Center An entity managed by AURA that is responsible for execution of a federally funded project.

cloud A visible mass of condensed water vapor floating in the atmosphere, typically high above the ground or in interstellar space acting as the birthplace for stars. Also a way of computing (on other peoples computers leveraging their services and availability)..

Commissioning A two-year phase at the end of the Construction project during which a technical team a) integrates the various technical components of the three subsystems; b) shows their compliance with ICDs and system-level requirements as detailed in the LSST Observatory System Specifications document (OSS, LSE-30); and c) performs science verification to show compliance with the survey performance specifications as detailed in the LSST Science Requirements Document (SRD, LPM-17).

CUI Controlled Unclassified Information.

DAC Data Access Center.

DAQ Data Acquisition System.

Data Access Center Part of the LSST Data Management System, the US and Chilean DACs will provide authorized access to the released LSST data products, software such as

the Science Platform, and computational resources for data analysis. The US DAC also includes a service for distributing bulk data on daily and annual (Data Release) timescales to partner institutions, collaborations, and LSST Education and Public Outreach (EPO)..

Data Management The LSST Subsystem responsible for the Data Management System (DMS), which will capture, store, catalog, and serve the LSST dataset to the scientific community and public. The DM team is responsible for the DMS architecture, applications, middleware, infrastructure, algorithms, and Observatory Network Design. DM is a distributed team working at LSST and partner institutions, with the DM Subsystem Manager located at LSST headquarters in Tucson.

Data Release Production An episode of (re)processing all of the accumulated LSST images, during which all output DR data products are generated. These episodes are planned to occur annually during the LSST survey, and the processing will be executed at the Archive Center. This includes Difference Imaging Analysis, generating deep Coadd Images, Source detection and association, creating Object and Solar System Object catalogs, and related metadata.

DE dark energy.

Director The person responsible for the overall conduct of the project; the LSST director is charged with ensuring that both the scientific goals and management constraints on the project are met. S/he is the principal public spokesperson for the project in all matters and represents the project to the scientific community, AURA, the member institutions of LSSTC, and the funding agencies.

DMTN DM Technical Note.

DRP Data Release Production.

Enclave Individually defined portions of the computational resources at the Summit, Base, NCSA, and Satellite Facilities, such as the Prompt Enclave, the Archive Enclave, etc..

FrDF French Data Facility.

HEP High Energy Physics.

HVAC Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning.

IaC Infrastructure as Code.

IDAC Independent Data Access Center.

IN2P3 Institut National de Physique Nucléaire et de Physique des Particules.

Independent Data Access Center Externally supported and administered versions of the DAC to serve the full, or a limited subset of, the LSST data products and/or software to authorized users..

IRIS e-Infrastructure for Research and Innovation for STFC.

ISO Information Security Officer.

JPL Jet Propulsion Laboratory (DE ephemerides).

LHN long haul network.

LLNL Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory.

LSST Legacy Survey of Space and Time (formerly Large Synoptic Survey Telescope).

MPC Minor Planet Center.

NEO Near-Earth Object.

Object In LSST nomenclature this refers to an astronomical object, such as a star, galaxy, or other physical entity. E.g., comets, asteroids are also Objects but typically called a Moving Object or a Solar System Object (SSObject). One of the DRP data products is a table of Objects detected by LSST which can be static, or change brightness or position with time.

OGA Other Government Agencies.

Operations The 10-year period following construction and commissioning during which the LSST Observatory conducts its survey.

OUO Official Use Only.

PMO Project Management Office.

Project Management Office the work element responsible for achieving the project's objectives.

QA Quality Assurance.

Quality Assurance All activities, deliverables, services, documents, procedures or artifacts which are designed to ensure the quality of DM deliverables. This may include QC systems, in so far as they are covered in the charge described in LDM-622. Note that contrasts with the LDM-522 definition of "QA" as "Quality Analysis", a manual process which occurs only during commissioning and operations. See also: Quality Control.

RDM Rubin Data Management.

RDP Rubin Data Production (Obsolete use RDM).

ROE Royal Observatory Edinburgh.

RPF Rubin system PerFormance.

RSP Rubin Science Platform.

Science Pipelines The library of software components and the algorithms and processing pipelines assembled from them that are being developed by DM to generate science-ready data products from LSST images. The Pipelines may be executed at scale as part of LSST Prompt or Data Release processing, or pieces of them may be used in a standalone mode or executed through the Rubin Science Platform. The Science Pipelines are one component of the LSST Software Stack.

Science Platform A set of integrated web applications and services deployed at the LSST Data Access Centers (DACs) through which the scientific community will access, visualize, and perform next-to-the-data analysis of the LSST data products.

SLAC SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory.

SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory A national laboratory funded by the US Department of Energy (DOE); SLAC leads a consortium of DOE laboratories that has assumed responsibility for providing the LSST camera. Although the Camera project manages its own schedule and budget, including contingency, the Camera team's schedule and requirements are integrated with the larger Project. The camera effort is accountable to the LSSTPO..

STFC UK Science and Technology Facilities Council.

TMA Telescope Mount Assembly.

TTS Tucson Test Stand.

UK United Kingdom.

US United States.

USDF United States Data Facility.

VPN virtual private network.